March 18, 1976

last year, for example, I think in a grocery store 16 year olds or older, if they are carrying a load of groceries to be carrying the beer. So it's in the same context. It's just to eliminate having to bring in separate personnel merely to remove a glass. I guess I don't see why that should be so controverified.

SENATOR KEYES: I just personally told you that you could have the 25th vote if you need it from me but you won't get it with that in there.

SENATOR DECAMP: Well, move adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDENT: Senator Koch.

SENATOR KOCH: Mr. President, as a body it appears to me that 204 is a bill that has significant difference in terms of what it once was as opposed to what it is now. I think that we should look at each of these amendments one by one, not adopt them totally. I'm not too concerned with what we are trying to do in the first section but I'm a little concerned about what we are trying to do, get over around section three and set up little fue dal systems in terms of a license and cut out competition. I think that if the license should be granted it should be granted on the basis of a competitive nature. Not to establish virtually a monoply in terms of a product. So that's why I think we should talk to each of these amendments separately and vote on them separately. And I so move.

PRESIDENT: Senator Kelly.

SENATOR KELLY: Mr. President, question of Senator DeCamp. Senator DeCamp, in your amendments specifically where do you strike my amendment out of section three of the original amended bill?

SENATOR DECAMP: Well I would have to go through it. The bill drafter was instructed to strike that amendment because it was controversial and I think we talked about that ahead of time. I assume it is struck in there.

SENATOR KELLY: Yes, I'm not sure...

SENATOR DECAMP: But I would have to go through and see specifically where it is because it says strike and I have to go piece it all together.

SENATOR KELLY: I'm not sure either, Senator DeCamp, but I'm assuming that it is struck and I would like the body to know that this amendment that was put on LB 204, I don't remember now just exactly when that was but allong time ago and it was the original amended version. This amendment would require of the Liquor Commission that if they put out information about any specific private businesses they would have permission of that business so to do. And Senator DeCamp has seen fit to nullify byremoving this amendment from the bill and you should know that this legislature and we may remember this is the time for revelation of what government is doing and this is one of those amendments that allows everybody to know what our government is doing and that amendment is being